

IEEE ISGT2010, Jan. 21

Voltage Stability and Sensitivity Analysis Considering Dynamic Load for Smart Grid

S. Lian, S. Morii,
T. Ishii and S. Kawamoto



Osaka Prefecture University
Osaka, JAPAN

Contents

- I . Introduction**
- II . Power Flow in Power Grid**
- III . Load Modeling**
- IV . Voltage Stability Analysis**
- V . Smart Grid**
- VI . Conclusion**

I . Introduction

**Electricity
Deregulation**

**Load and
Distributed Generators**


Stable supply of electricity

Blackouts

1987 August: **TOKYO**

2003 August: **United States, Canada**

September: **Sweden, Denmark, Italy**




Increase of reactive power

Load Control based on Load Analysis

Research

Power Grid



Load Composition and Voltage Stability Analysis

II . Power Flow in Power Grid

Looking over the upper system at a certain load-bus




Fig. 1 Power grid

Power Flow ①




Fig. 2 Power flow at a load-bus

Power Flow ②

$$P_L + jQ_L = \dot{V} \bar{I}_L \quad (1)$$

$$= \dot{V} \overline{(\dot{I}_1 + \dot{I}_2 + \dots + \dot{I}_N)}$$


$$= \dot{V} \overline{\left(\frac{\dot{V}_1 - \dot{V}}{\dot{Z}_1} + \frac{\dot{V}_2 - \dot{V}}{\dot{Z}_2} + \dots + \frac{\dot{V}_N - \dot{V}}{\dot{Z}_N} \right)}$$

$$= \dot{V} \left\{ \overline{\left(\frac{1}{\dot{Z}_1} \dot{V}_1 + \frac{1}{\dot{Z}_2} \dot{V}_2 + \dots + \frac{1}{\dot{Z}_N} \dot{V}_N \right)} - \overline{\left(\frac{1}{\dot{Z}_1} + \frac{1}{\dot{Z}_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{\dot{Z}_N} \right) \dot{V}} \right\}$$

$$= \dot{V} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{\left(\frac{\frac{1}{\dot{Z}_1} \dot{V}_1 + \frac{1}{\dot{Z}_2} \dot{V}_2 + \dots + \frac{1}{\dot{Z}_N} \dot{V}_N}{\frac{1}{\dot{Z}_1} + \frac{1}{\dot{Z}_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{\dot{Z}_N}} \right)} - \dot{V} \\ \overline{\left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\dot{Z}_1} + \frac{1}{\dot{Z}_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{\dot{Z}_N}} \right)} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\dot{V}_0 \equiv \sum_{k=1}^N (\dot{V}_k / \dot{Z}_k) / \sum_{k=1}^N (1 / \dot{Z}_k) \quad (2)$$

$$\equiv \dot{V} \overline{\left(\frac{\dot{V}_0 - \dot{V}}{\dot{Z}_0} \right)}$$



Power Flow ③

$$P_L + jQ_L \equiv \dot{V} \left(\frac{\dot{V}_0 - \dot{V}}{\dot{Z}_0} \right), \quad \begin{cases} \dot{V}_0 \equiv \sum_{k=1}^N (\dot{V}_k / \dot{Z}_k) / \sum_{k=1}^N (1 / \dot{Z}_k) \\ \dot{Z}_0 \equiv 1 / \sum_{k=1}^N (1 / \dot{Z}_k) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

The upper system can be rewritten into a one-machine one-load system without approximation.

Complicated
power source




Fig. 3 Equivalent one-machine one-load system

III . Load Modeling


Static Load Model

{ Exponential-type $P = P_0 V^n$ (3)
 :
 Polynomial-type $P = a_0 + a_1 V + a_2 V^2$ (4)
 :

Constant current

Constant power

Constant impedance



Dynamic Load Model

Static load

Dynamic load

Conductance

{ $P_L(t) = a_0 + a_1 V_2(t) + a_2 (G(t) V_2^2(t) - 1)$ (5)
 { $\frac{dG(t)}{dt} = -\frac{1}{T} (G(t) V_2^2(t) - 1)$, $G(0) = 1$ (6)

Ihara, Tomiyama et al. (IEEE 1999)

Load Modeling ①






Fig. 4 Modeling of $V_2(t)$, $P_L(t)$ and $Q_L(t)$

Load Modeling ②

Dynamic Load Model

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P_L(t) = a_0 + a_1 V_2(t) + a_2 (G(t) V_2^2(t) - 1) \end{array} \right. \quad (5)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{dG(t)}{dt} = -\frac{1}{T} (G(t) V_2^2(t) - 1) \quad , \quad G(0) = 1 \end{array} \right. \quad (6)$$




Fig. 5 Conductance G(t)

Runge-Kutta Method

Time Constant

$$T = 0.0167$$

Load Modeling ③

Quadratic Function Model

$$G(t) \approx m + nV_2(t) + pV_2^2(t) \quad (7)$$




Fig. 6 Relationship between $V_2(t)$ & $G(t)$

Load Modeling ④

$$P_L(t) = a_0 + a_1 V_2(t) + a_2 (G(t) V_2^2(t) - 1) \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{dG(t)}{dt} = -\frac{1}{T} (G(t) V_2^2(t) - 1) \quad (6)$$

$$G(t) \approx m + n V_2(t) + p V_2(t)^2 \quad (7)$$

Load P-V Curve

$$P_L = (a_0 - a_2) + a_1 V_2 + a_2 m V_2^2 + a_2 n V_2^3 + a_2 p V_2^4 \quad (8)$$

Load Q-V Curve

$$Q_L = (b_0 - b_2) + b_1 V_2 + b_2 r V_2^2 + b_2 s V_2^3 + b_2 u V_2^4 \quad (9)$$

Load P-V and Q-V Curves
considering Dynamic Load

Load Modeling ⑤




Fig. 7 Load composition

IV . Voltage Stability Analysis




Fig. 8 The standard one-machine system model with load.

Complex Power

$$P_L + jQ_L = \dot{V}_2 \overline{\left(\frac{\dot{V}_1 - \dot{V}_2}{R_1 + jX_1} \right)} \quad (10)$$

$$Q_L = P_L \tan \phi \quad (11)$$

Power Factor

$\cos \phi$

System P-V Curve

$$\left(R^2 + X^2 \right) \left(1 + \tan^2 \phi \right) \cdot P_L^2 + 2 \left(R + X \tan \phi \right) V_2^2 \cdot P_L + \left(V_2^4 - V_1^2 V_2^2 \right) = 0 \quad (12)$$

(for $\forall t \geq 0$)

P-V curves




Fig. 9 System and Load P-V curves

Q-V curves




Fig. 10 System and Load Q-V Curves

Japan Sweden






Fig. 11 Sensitivity analysis

V . Smart Grid



VI . Conclusion

- (1) A power grid can be rewritten without approximation into an equivalent system at each load-bus.
- (2) The dynamic load model with a differential equation can be approximated in a higher order polynomial form of V_2 .
- (3) The voltage stability can be analyzed by the system and the load P-V and Q-V curves considering dynamic load.
- (4) In the case of the data observed in Sweden, the reactive power is more sensitive to the voltage stability than the active one and the case of Japan.

Future Subject

Applying the proposed method to the data observed by changing LTC at sub-station, and to the load control for Smart Grid